Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anand Kumar Sinha Designation: Professor and Dean (UG)

Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering Programme: B.E. (Civil Engineering)

Semester: V Session: MO 2014-2015

Course Code: CE 5003 Course Title: Fluid Mechanics - II

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431382733 E-mail: aksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....



Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anand Kumar Sinha Designation: Professor and Dean (UG)

Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering Programme: B.E. (Civil Engineering)

Semester: V Session: MO 2014-2015

Course Code: CE 5006 Course Title: Fluid Mechanics Laboratory - II

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431382733 E-mail: aksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	3
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anand Kumar Sinha Designation: Professor and Dean (UG)

Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering Programme: B.E. (Civil Engineering)

Semester: VII Session: MO 2014-2015

Course Code: CE 8011 Course Title: Dam and Water Power Engineering

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431382733 E-mail: aksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	3
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	3
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course component on Water Power Engineering may be reduced.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anand Kumar Sinha Designation: Professor and Director, IQAC

Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering Programme: B.E. (Civil Engineering)

Semester: VI Session: SP 2014-2015

Course Code: CE 6005 Course Title: Surveying - II

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431382733 E-mail: aksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	3
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	3
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	3
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

Yes

The course component on Astronomical Surveying may be reduced.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: Anand Kumar Sinha Designation: Professor and Director, IQAC

Department: Civil and Environmental Engineering Programme: B.E. (Civil Engineering)

Semester: VI Session: SP 2014-2015

Course Code: CE 6002 Course Title: Surveying Field Work - II

Number of times taught: 1

Mobile: 9431382733 E-mail: aksinha@bitmesra.ac.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	3
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	3
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	3

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes, please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.